

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/648,264		WHITNEY, SCOTT	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst		1743	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Christopher Walsh (Appl Rep.).

**Date of Interview:** 5 November 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

  

Claims discussed:

*62, 63, 65*

  

Prior art documents discussed:

*US Patent no. 6,635,489*

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's Representative agreed to make minor changes in claims 62, 63 and 65, and to file a terminal disclaimer over parent patent no. 6,635,489 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)